

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

,w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,680	12/15/2000	Vipin Samar	OR00-14001	6541
51067 759	-	EXAMINER		
ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95618-7759			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVIS, CA 75010	0-7733	2161		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONT		03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/741,680	SAMAR, VIPIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CamLinh Nguyen	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 February 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 49-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 49-66 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/741,680

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is response to amendment filed on 2/16/2007.
- 2. Applicant's amendments to claims 49-66 are acknowledged. Consequently, rejection to claims 49 66 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn; claims 49 66 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 49 50, 54 56, 60 62, 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D. Richard Kuhn (U.S. 6,023,765) in view of Sweet et al (U.S. 2002/0031230 A1).
- ♦ As per claims 49, 55, 61

Kuhn discloses a method/a computer-readable storage medium (corresponds to MLS system, col.

- 4, lines 25 30) and an apparatus for managing a database system, comprising:
 - "Receiving a command to perform an administrator function involving a user within the database system" (See Fig. 3, col.7, lines 65 66). Any type of users can make the

Art Unit: 2161

command in the privileged classes (Fig. 1, element 10). "Involving a user within the database system" corresponds to a object or documents or employ resources (col. 4, lines 53-56).

- "Determining if the user is a sensitive user who is empowered to access sensitive data in the database system". In this case, the Examiner interpret the phrase "the user is a sensitive user who is empowered to access sensitive data in the database system" as a "document or employ resource" that are protected in the system. The object is attached label such as "CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, TOP SECRET" (col.6, lines 53 55). Kuhn teaches that in order to access to the object, it must determine the sensitivity level of the information (Col. 10, lines 10 12).
- "If the user is not a sensitive user, and if the command is received from a normal database administrator for the database system, allowing the administrative function to proceed" (See col. 7, lines 18 24, 65 col. 8, lines 4). Kuhn teaches that the system would only allow the use access to the object when the security levels equal or less than his/her own clearance level using the mapping. Therefore, if the document is not sensitive (not a sensitive user) such as having labeled "confidence", and the user is having a "confidence" level (normal administrator), then the systems will "allowing the administrative function to proceed".
- "If the user is a sensitive user, and if the command is received from a normal database administrator, preventing the normal database administrator from performing the administrative function involving the sensitive user" (See col. 7, lines 18 24, 65 col. 8, lines 4). As discussed above, Kuhn teaches that the system would only allow the use

Application/Control Number: 09/741,680 Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

access to the object when the security levels equal or less than his/her own clearance level using the mapping. Therefore, if the document is sensitive (a sensitive user) such as having labeled "top secret", and the user is having a "confidence" level (normal administrator), then the systems will "disable the administrative function to proceed".

- "If the user is a sensitive user, and if the command is received from a security officer within the group of one or more security officers, allowing the administrative function to proceed". Again, by applying the same test above, the system would allow the security officer to perform the administrative function since the security officer is the highest level in the database system.
- "A command receiving mechanism configured to receive a command" corresponds to the external system 24 (See Fig. 2, element 24).

Kuhn does not clearly teach that the database system has a plurality of administrators, and at least one of the pluralities of administrators is a security officer within the group of one or more security officers. Kuhn is silent on teaching that the database system includes normal database administrators, and security officers.

However, Sweet, on the other hand, discloses a security system that comprises:

- "Plurality of administrators" page 7, paragraph 0090.
- "The sensitive object" See page 6, paragraph 0081.
- "Wherein at least one of the plurality of administrators is a security officer who can perform administrative functions on sensitive objects" See page 7, paragraph 0090.
- "Wherein an administrator in the plurality of administrators who is not a security officer cannot become a sensitive user and thereby obtain access to sensitive objects indirectly"

Art Unit: 2161

See page 7, paragraph 0091. Wherein, "an administrator in the plurality of administrators who is not a security officer" can be a normal administrator in domain 125, who is responsible for the configuration and management only.

- "If the object is not a sensitive object, and if the command is received from an administrator who is not a security officer; allowing the administrative function to proceed" page 7, paragraph 0090 0091, 0152.
- "If the object is a sensitive object, and if the command is received from an administrator who is not a security officer, disallowing the administrative function" page 7, paragraph 0090 0091, 0165.

As discussed above, the Sweet discloses a hierarchical administrative group according to different levels of administrative tasks (see page 3 paragraph 0035 of Sweet), and Kuhn teaches that depending on user privileges, the system will allow the user to access to the object (See col. 7, lines 18 - 24, 65 - col. 8, lines 4 of Kuhn).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Sweet into the system of Kuhn because both invention were available and the teaching of Sweet provides secure electronic access to the system using the security officer and administrators; the combination would protect the database more secure by using different administrator levels so that an administrator in the plurality of administrators who is not a security officer (using administrator group in Sweet) cannot perform administrative functions on sensitive object (using Kuhn invention).

◆ As per claims 50, 56, 62, Kuhn and Sweet disclose:

Art Unit: 2161

- "A request to perform an operation" corresponds to "a command to perform an administrative function" See Fig. 3, col.7, lines 65 66 of Kuhn.
- "If the data item is a sensitive data item containing sensitive information and if the request is received from a sensitive user who is empowered to access sensitive data, allowing the operation to proceed if the sensitive user has access rights to the sensitive data item" The Examiner in this case will interpret the "data item" as "document" in Kuhn reference. Again, by applying the same test above, the system would allow the sensitive user who is empowered to access sensitive data to perform the administrative function since the sensitive user is the highest level in the database system (See col. 7, lines 18 24, 65 col. 8, lines 4 of Kuhn).
- "If the data item is a sensitive data item and the request is received from a user who is not a sensitive user, disallowing the operation" (See col. 7, lines 18 24, 65 col. 8, lines 4). As discussed above, Kuhn teaches that the system would only allow the use access to the object when the security levels equal or less than his/her own clearance level using the mapping. Therefore, if the document is sensitive (a sensitive data) such as having labeled "top secret", and the user is having a "confidence" level (not a sensitive user), then the systems will "disable the administrative function to proceed".
- ♦ As per claims 54, 60, 66, Kuhn and Sweet disclose:
 - Wherein if the user is not a sensitive user, and if the command to perform the administrative function is received from a security officer, the method further comprises allowing the security officer to perform the administrative function on the user" See col.
 7, lines 18 24, 65 col. 8, lines 4 of Kuhn.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,680 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

5. Claims 51 – 53, 57 – 59, 63 – 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D. Richard Kuhn (U.S. 6,023,765) in view of Sweet et al (U.S. 2002/0031230 A1) as applied to claims 49 - 50 above, and further in view of Minear et al (U.S. 5,983,350).

 \bullet As per claim 51 - 53, 57 - 59, 63 - 66, Kuhn and Sweet disclose:

The combination of Kuhn and Sweet fail to disclose:

- "Wherein if the data item is a sensitive data item, if the operation is allowed to proceed, and if the operation involves retrieval of the data item, the method further comprises decrypting the data item using an encryption key after the data item is retrieved".

However, this is a well-known technique in the art to protect the data when transferring in the network. Minear provided an example of it. Minear teaches a method for securely transferring information in the network (col. 1, lines 8 - 11, Minear) comprising the decrypting/encrypting data (col. 2, lines 52 - 64, Minear). Minear also teaches that the encryption key is stored in a table (col. 7, lines 29 - 35, Minear).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Minear into the combination of Kuhn/Sweet because the combination would protect the data more secure and prevent the unauthorized user to access the data.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/12/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,680

Art Unit: 2161

Applicant argues that Kuhn or Sweet do not suggests a special administrator that is the only administrator that can perform administrative functions on sensitive users (page 9 of the Remark). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

First of all, Applicant stated that "Hence, any add/delete/modify operations on any of the sensitive user information can be done only by the security officer 136" (page 8, lines 15 - 16 of the instant application); "tables containing sensitive information 124" (page 7, lines 10 - 11); and "an object representing a sensitive user" (page 9, lines 23 - 24). Therefore, information that represents a sensitive user also called "sensitive object" in the database.

Kuhn teaches "objects within the protected system, such as stored documents, or to employ resources" (col. 4, lines 54 – 56 of Kuhn). The object is attached label such as "CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, TOP SECRET" (col.6, lines 53 – 55, Kuhn). Therefore, the object in Kuhn also is a "sensitive object". In addition, Sweet also discloses sensitive information in the invention. Sweet teaches "all domain-specific sensitive information is stored in encrypted form" (paragraph 0371 of Sweet).

Therefore, both Kuhn and Sweet disclose a "sensitive object" that represents "sensitive information" as claimed in the claim invention.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/741,680

Art Unit: 2161

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273- 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen, Cam Linh Art Unit 2161

Wyryenamhel

LŅ